Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

### Benjamin J. Coe,\* Emma C. Fitzgerald and James Raftery

School of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, England

Correspondence e-mail: b.coe@manchester.ac.uk

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.042 wR factor = 0.064 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# 3,6-Dimethyl-4,5-dihydro-3a,5a-diazapyrene ditriflate

The crystal structure of the title compound,  $C_{16}H_{16}N_2^{2+}$ .-2CF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sup>-</sup>, is the first structure to be reported for a diquaternized derivative of 2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline. Received 23 August 2006 Accepted 30 August 2006

#### Comment

The compound 6,7-dihydrodipyrido[1,2-a:2',1'-c]pyrazinepyrazinediium dibromide (diquat dibromide) is a potent herbicide (Brian et al., 1958), and related salts derived from 2.2'-bipyridyl and other  $\alpha$ -diimines have also generated considerable interest (Summers, 1981). In recent years, the attractive redox properties of diquats have led to other uses as electron acceptors in light-harvesting chromophore-quencher systems (Ryu et al., 1992; Klumpp et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2003, 2005) and in ion-pair charge-transfer complexes (Nunn et al., 1994; Hofbauer et al., 1996; Unamuno et al., 1998; Vitoria et al., 2002). Our studies directed at using diquats in novel metalbased chromophore-quencher arrays have afforded an unusually facile method for the synthesis of such compounds, including salts (I) and (II) (Coe, Curati & Fitzgerald, 2006). The structure of salt (II), 1,2,7,8-tetramethyl-4,5-dihydro-3a,5a-diazapyrene ditriflate, is presented in the following paper (Coe, Fitzgerald & Raftery, 2006).



The molecular structures of the salts (I) (Fig. 1) and (II) are as indicated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and, to our knowledge, the first to be reported containing these particular methylsubstituted cations. The geometrical parameters of the diquat units are as expected (Table 1), and largely identical for the two compounds. The C atoms of the ethylene bridge have normal tetrahedral geometries, with N1–C13–C14–N2 torsion angles of -58.2 (3)° for (I) and -58.83 (19)° for (II). Comparisons with previously published structures for other diquat compounds derived from 1,10-phenanthroline reveal generally similar bond lengths and angles, although the ethylene torsion angles are somewhat smaller at *ca* 53–55° (Hofbauer *et al.*, 1996; Unamuno *et al.*, 1998; Vitoria *et al.*,

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved



The asymmetric unit of salt (I), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

2002). In both compounds, the presence of the ethylene bridge imparts a small twist to the 3a,5a-diazapyrene unit, with dihedral angles between the two aromatic outer rings of 8.85 (7)° for (I) and 11.26 (5)° for (II). The crystal packing structures of both (I) and (II) reveal extensive  $C-H\cdots O$ interactions between the diquat cations and the trifluoromethanesulfonate counter-anions.

## **Experimental**

Salts (I) and (II) were synthesized as reported previously (Coe, Curati & Fitzgerald, 2006). Crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether vapour into acetone solutions at 295 K.

Crystal data

$C_{16}H_{16}N_2^{2+} \cdot 2CF_3O_3S^-$	Z = 4
$M_r = 534.45$	$D_x = 1.713 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 9.976 (1)  Å	$\mu = 0.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 12.653 (1)  Å	T = 100 (2)  K
c = 16.559 (2) Å	Block, white
$\beta = 97.426 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$
V = 2072.7 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>	

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD areadetector diffractometer  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: none 17755 measured reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$   $wR(F^2) = 0.064$  S = 0.724947 reflections 309 parameters 4947 independent reflections 2354 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.083$  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 28.3^{\circ}$ 

H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0121P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.39 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.34 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

Table 1		
Selected geometric parameters	(Å,	°).

C1-N1	1.379 (3)	C5-C6	1.402 (3)
C1-C9	1.397 (3)	C6-C7	1.416 (3)
C1-C2	1.427 (3)	C7-C8	1.348 (3)
C3-N2	1.344 (3)	C10-C11	1.352 (3)
C3-C4	1.397 (3)	C11-C12	1.403 (3)
C3-C15	1.486 (3)	C13-N1	1.481 (3)
C4-C5	1.360 (3)	C13-C14	1.498 (3)
N1-C1-C2	121.3 (2)	N2-C14-C13	110.2 (2)
N2-C2-C1	120.8 (2)	C1-N1-C13	116.6 (2)
N1-C13-C14	109.9 (2)	C2-N2-C14	115.4 (2)
N1-C13-C14-N2	-58.2 (3)		

All H atoms were included in calculated positions, with C–H = 0.95 (CH), 0.99 (CH<sub>2</sub>) and 0.98 Å (CH<sub>3</sub>).  $U_{iso}$ (H) values were fixed at  $1.2U_{eq}$ (C) or  $1.5U_{eq}$ (methyl C).

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1997); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR2004* (Burla *et al.*, 2005); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors thank the EPSRC for funding (grant No. GR/ R81459 and a PhD studentship).

#### References

- Brian, R. C., Homer, R. F., Stubbs, J. & Jones, R. L. (1958). *Nature (London)*, **181**, 446–447.
- Bruker (1997). SMART, SAINT and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Burla, M. C., Caliandro, R., Camalli, M., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G. L., De Caro, L., Giacovazzo, C., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (2005). J. Appl. Cryst. 38, 381–388.
- Coe, B. J., Curati, N. R. M. & Fitzgerald, E. C. (2006). Synthesis, pp. 146–150.

Coe, B. J., Fitzgerald, E. C. & Raftery, J. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 04335–04336.
Hofbauer, M., Möbius, M., Knoch, F. & Benedix, R. (1996). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 247, 147–154.

- Kim, Y.-H., Das, A., Zhang, H.-Y. & Dutta, P. K. (2005). J. Phys. Chem. B, 109, 6929–6032.
- Kim, Y.-H., Lee, H.-J., Dutta, P. K. & Das, A. (2003). Inorg. Chem. 42, 4215– 4222.
- Klumpp, T., Linsenmann, M., Larson, S. L., Limoges, B. R., Bürssner, D., Krissinel, E. B., Elliott, C. M. & Steiner, U. E. (1999). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 1076–1087.
- Nunn, I., Eisen, B., Benedix, R. & Kisch, H. (1994). Inorg. Chem. 33, 5079– 5085.
- Ryu, C. K., Wang, R.-Y., Schmehl, R. H., Ferrere, S., Ludwikow, M., Merkert, J. W., Headford, C. E. L. & Elliott, C. M. (1992). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 430– 438.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

- Summers, L. A. (1981). The Bipyridinium Herbicides. London: Academic Press.
- Unamuno, I., Gutiérrez-Zorrilla, J. M., Luque, A., Román, P., Lezama, L., Calvo, R. & Rojo, T. (1998). *Inorg. Chem.* 37, 6452–6460.
- Vitoria, P., Beitia, J. I., Gutiérrez-Zorrilla, J. M., Sáiz, E. R., Luque, A., Insausti, M. & Blanco, J. J. (2002). *Inorg. Chem.* **41**, 4396–4404.